22

23

24

25

26

distinction is immaterial. A petition for a writ of habeas corpus "may be amended or supplemented as provided in the rules of procedure applicable to civil actions." 28 U.S.C. § 2242; see also Rule 12, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases (Rules of Civil Procedure apply to federal habeas proceedings "to the extent that they are not inconsistent."). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a) permits a party to amend a pleading with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). "The court should freely give leave when justice so requires." Id. "Courts may decline to grant leave to amend only if there is strong evidence of 'undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, [or] futility of amendment, etc." Sonoma County. Ass'n of Retired Employees v. Sonoma County, 708 F.3d 1109, 1117 (9th Cir. 2013) (quoting Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962)). "[T]he consideration of prejudice to the opposing party carries the greatest weight." Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc., 316 F.3d 1048, 1052 (9th Cir. 2003).

Hurst was decided January 12, 2016. Respondents do not show that petitioner's request to add a claim based on *Hurst* within the following year involved undue delay, bad faith, or dilatory motive, or that they would be unduly prejudiced by the addition of such claims.

Furthermore, while there appear to be serious questions regarding the retroactivity of *Hurst*, and its application in this case, the court determines -- for purposes of the motion to supplement only -- that there is no showing that addition of the *Hurst* claim would be futile. "[P]roposed amendments [are futile when they] are either duplicative of existing claims or patently frivolous." Murray v. Schriro, 745 F.3d 984, 1015 (9th Cir. 2014) (quoting Bonin v. Calderon, 59 F.3d 815, 846 (9th Cir. 1995)).

Petitioner requests that the court waive the requirements of LR 15-1, which generally requires that a complete proposed amended petition be attached to a motion to amend, and that, after a motion to amend is granted, the petitioner is to file the complete amended petition. Under the circumstances here, in the interest of judicial economy and in the interest of conserving the parties'

resources, the court will waive the requirements of Local Rule 15-1. After the completion of his pending state-court proceedings, and if and when the stay of this action is permanently lifted, the court will require petitioner to file an amended habeas petition, including the new claim.

Nothing in this order, granting petitioner's motion for leave to supplement, will have any bearing on any other procedural issue in this case; nor will any aspect of this order have any bearing on the court's consideration of the merits petitioner's new claim in any other context.

IT IS THEREFORE HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's Motion to Temporarily Lift Stay to Supplement Second Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 67) is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner is granted leave of court to add to his habeas corpus petition in this action the claim set forth in his Supplement to Second Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 69). That claim will be considered added to the habeas corpus petition in this action. The court will not, at this time, require petitioner to file an amended habeas petition, including his new claim. After completion of his state-court proceedings, and if and when the stay of this action is permanently lifted, the court will require petitioner to file an amended habeas petition including this new claim.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the stay of this action shall remain in effect.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d), the Clerk of the Court shall substitute Timothy Filson for Renee Baker, on the docket for this case, as the respondent warden, and shall update the caption of the action to reflect this change.

Dated March 9, 2017.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE